

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/602,451	06/24/2003	Steve Thorne		9357		
75	90 06/29/2004		EXAMINER			
STEVE THORNE			BEAULIEU, YONEL			
3315 GRAND A	AVE.					
OAKLAND, CA 94610			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,			3661			

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.		Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/602,451	-	THORNE, STEVE			
		Examiner		Art Unit			
		Yonel Beaulieu		3661			
The MAILING DATE Period for Reply	of this communication app	pears on the cover	sheet with the co	rrespondence ad	dress		
A SHORTENED STATUTO THE MAILING DATE OF T - Extensions of time may be available after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mail. If the period for reply specified abov. If NO period for reply is specified ab Failure to reply within the set or extension.	HIS COMMUNICATION. under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 ling date of this communication. e is less than thirty (30) days, a reply oove, the maximum statutory period ended period for reply will, by statute er than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, howev y within the statutory minin will apply and will expire SI c, cause the application to to	er, may a reply be timel num of thirty (30) days v X (6) MONTHS from the pecome ABANDONED	y filed vill be considered timely e mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status							
	. ,	action is non-final	nal matters, pros		merits is		
Disposition of Claims							
4)	n(s) is/are withdrave allowed. e jected. e objected to.	wn from considerai					
Application Papers							
	n <u>24 June 2003</u> is/are: a) est that any objection to the sheet(s) including the correct	D⊠ accepted or b) drawing(s) be held ir ion is required if the	n abeyance. See 3 drawing(s) is object	37 CFR 1.85(a). cted to. See 37 CF			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTC 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent 3) Information Disclosure Statemer Paper No(s)/Mail Date S. Patent and Trademark Office.	Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) <u> </u>	terview Summary (P aper No(s)/Mail Date otice of Informal Pate ther:	·	-152)		

Art Unit: 3661

Claim Objections

Claims 2 – 6 and 8 – 10 are objected to because of the following informalities: under MPEP, §608.01(m), each claim must end with a period – see Manbeck v. Frescola. Appropriate correction is required.

Also, in claim 7, it is suggested to change "roadspeed" (line 16) to --road speed--.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1 – 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claims 1 and 7, "a radar device mounted integrally with a vehicle" is readily understood. Which vehicle is the device mounted on? The trailing vehicle or a vehicle that would be forward of that trailing vehicle?

Also, in claim 1, is the "computer processing unit" (line 9) the same as that of line 8?

Any claims linked to claims 1 and 7 are necessarily rejected.

Art Unit: 3661

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 9, and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Matsumoto (US 5,865,265).

Regarding claims 1, 2, and 7, Matsumoto teaches a radar activated device of a vehicle trailing another vehicle comprising a radar device (1; figs. 1, 4, 7, and 8) integrated with means (2) to measure the speed of forward and relative speed and further transmit the speed to a computer processing unit (3) (note col. 3: 1 - 21 and col. 6: 55 - 64 at least), the processing unit comparing information using a set of criteria pertaining to traffic speed and initiate a response (col. 3: 5 - 12; col. 5: 3 - 11; and col. 6: 59 - 67 at least); and a display (6) controllable by the processing unit (3) and visible to the operator of the vehicle (see figs. 1, 4, 7 and 8).

Regarding claims 4 and 9, Matsumoto further teaches a warning light (generated alarm displayed via 6; see fig. 1 at least) controlled by the processing unit (3) mounted in the cab (not explicitly shown) of the radar equipped vehicle (col. 3: 12 – 15).

Art Unit: 3661

Regarding claims 5 and 10, Matsumoto's device further comprising acoustic means (speaker 5) for alerting the operator of the radar-equipped vehicle (col. 3: 12 - 15).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 6 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsumoto ('265) as applied to claims 1 and 7.

As discussed above, Matsumoto teaches all of the limitations except for making the display a *blue colored light*.

However, it has not shown that a blue display solves any stated problem in the art or is for any particular purpose. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention Matsumoto's display is equivalent in achieving the same end result of alerting the vehicle operator.

Art Unit: 3661

Claims 3 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsumoto ('265) as applied to claims 1 and 7 above, and further in view of Stopczynski et al. (US 6,420,996 B1).

As discussed above, Matsumoto teaches all of the limitations except for the use of GPS technology.

However, Stopzynski teaches, in the same field of endeavor of radar activated mechanism for a vehicle, using GPS technology (see figs. 4-6; col. 5: 25-35 and col. 6: 1-8 and 45-51 at least).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have modified Matsumoto's teaching by using GPS technology in order to enhance collision avoidance.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Yonel Beaulieu whose telephone number is (703) 305-4072. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R, from 0900-1600.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas BLACK can be reached on (703) 305-8233. The

Art Unit: 3661

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Y. BEAULIEU AU 3661 (6/23/04